

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,925	08/20/2003	Hiroyuki Yamada	056205.48558C1	4766	
23911 7	11 7590 08/01/2006			EXAMINER	
CROWELL &	& MORING LLP	MILLER, CARL STUART			
	AL PROPERTY GRO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. BOX 14300			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		3747		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/643,925	YAMADA ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carl S. Miller	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REAL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion is period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p				
·	in Expante Quayle, 1000 O.B. 11,	400 O.O. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-14,26 and 28-35 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-34 is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-14,26,28-30 and 35 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	ny (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,925

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13, 26, 28-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraemer in view of Wilber.

Kraemer, as noted in earlier office actions, teaches a fuel injection pump with all of the applicant's claimed features except the second spring biasing the holder in the outward position and thereby causing the inlet valve to be held open. While this feature is not shown by Kraemer, the mechanism is held open by some manner since the activation of the solenoid appears to retract the holder, thereby allowing the check valve to close.

Wilber (UK('941)) teaches a check valve that includes a ball valve biased by a first spring and a larger spring that biases a holder in a direction to hold the check valve open. The solenoid is used to overcome the bias of the second spring and allow the check valve to close.

It would have been obvious to modify Kraemer by constructing the check valve as taught by Wilber because the latter valve was also used as an injection timing device by allowing fluid to be r43elesed from a timing chamber as opposed to the pumping chamber

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraemer and Wilber as applied to claim11 above, and further in view of Yasuhara.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,925

Art Unit: 3747

Yasuhara teaches the use of an injection pump inlet solenoid valve that does not set the beginning of injection, but instead closes at a fixed time. This is possible because the timing is set by rotation of the pump cam mechanism

It would have been obvious to modify Kraemer by using the inlet solenoid to set only the end of injection since it was known in the art to use other means to set the beginning of injection even in a system having a solenoid-controlled inlet.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/03/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the rejection under obvious-type double patenting has been overcome by the applicant's terminal disclaimer and is hereby withdrawn. A new rejection has been applied above since the examiner has reconsidered the art of record and found that the Wilber reference is basically identical in structure to the applicant's inlet valve, Furthermore, the Kraemer inlet valve is identical to the extent that the details of that valve are shown. Thus, because the two valves were being used in very similar environments, it would have been obvious to substitute the details of the Wilber valve for the missing details of the Kraemer valve.

Since this new rejection was not precipitated by the applicant's amendment, this action has been made non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,925

Art Unit: 3747

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Exenuner